OKC Development Codes Update

UM Zones presentation Stakeholder Advisory Team 11.03.22

Agenda

- Recap overall approach
- Review public outreach results
- Review proposed Urban Medium approach
- Discussion
- Next Steps



Recap LUTA & Street Typology Approach

Backbone of the new code

New LUTA Zone Approach

- Organize new zoning districts based on LUTAs
- Integrate more deliberate standards to align with LUTAs
 - As LUTAs move along the continuum from rural to urban, purposeful standards apply regarding:
 - Compatibility
 - Building and streetscape design
 - Parking
 - Walkability
 - Transit usage



LUTA Approach: Urban Areas



Integrate more deliberate standards to achieve intended development outcomes

Purposeful variations in setbacks, height, transitions

With move from rural to urban, more mixing of land uses; form standards for building and streetscape design, less parking, more transit, more walkability

Street Typology

Describes street character, recognizing the relationship between the street and land uses.

- Arterial
 - Major
 - Minor
- Connector
- Main Street
- Industrial
- Downtown
- Neighborhood

http://planokc.org/development-guide/



"Baked-in" metrics

- Street Typology
 - street layout and design tied to LUTAs
 - can establish the relationship of buildings to the street in the urban areas,
 - use to create
 streetscape standards
 - used in the new sign code update to calibrate sign size

Review public outreach and survey results

Outreach

OKC Development Codes Update

1	Opinion surveys by area of town	Contains	In the second se
o re di pi in co	Ite Etty is beginning the process of modernizing RCS and requirations to exist a divorbance and development throughout the community. Hence anticipate in the opinion surveys to help the City with this origin. To list your throughos about the most important which to consider a new shorts and buildings are built commons, mode the Cice prese within the Toop mode by \$4,53, and 143M.		Encuesta de Opinión
(For more information, contact: Water State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State	Annual and the	Nhão sử ý kiến

<section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text>

- 1 online survey about most important issues as new growth occurs (2021)
- 1 online survey about housing choices (2022 – through Nov 11)
- 10 Open Houses at Libraries across the Metro
- Online comment cards
- Focus groups with neighbors and developers (ongoing)

WHAT'S A LUTA? (LAND USE TYPOLOGY AREA)



Most Important Issues Survey, 2021: In the Core



- Most important issues for future development:
 - Sidewalks
 - Open space
 - Community appearance
- Other housing-related comments:
 - Concerns about pricing people out of neighborhoods; need affordable housing
 - Need for developers to have neighborhood buy-in before they build
 - Preservation of neighborhood character / historic ambience;
 - Development fitting into the neighborhoods
 - Walkable neighborhoods with mixed zoning

Housing Choices Survey, 2022

- 700+ surveys completed throughout the metro
- About 1/3 from inside the core
- Asking types of housing desired
- Height, setbacks of adjacent structures
- Most important design elements



Housing Choices Survey, 2022

I would like to see the following housing types in my neighborhood (Select all that apply):



Various small-home types selected; single-family home most preferred

This design element is the most important for new development to fit into my neighborhood (Select one):

Answered: 718 Skipped: 11



Architectural style most important to fit into my neighborhood

Comment Cards 2022: Inside the Core

- More housing choices for seniors, located near activities
- Walkable and interactive
- Need neighborhood commercial
- Protect beautiful old homes
- Stop demolishing old schools/buildings; study demolition process
- Protect cyclists at corners/near parking
- Need density per planokc
- Need more tree coverage, native lawns
- Address climate change
- Address flooding



New zones: approach and principles

Urban Medium (UM) LUTA

Applies to:	A wide variety of fully urbanized neighborhoods largely built prior to 1960.
Purposes:	Support efficient transit usage; provide pedestrian and bicycle access to retail, services, parks, and other destinations.
Priorities:	Infill development on vacant lots, rehabilitation of underutilized property, and development that supports revitalization of distressed neighborhoods.

LAND USE TYPOLOGY AREAS (LUTAs)



Density Range:	10 to 40 dwelling units per acre
Bulk:	0.40 to 1.2 FAR; 1.0 typical

- Desire for increased infill development
 - Creates increased SPUD cases; no uniformity or predictability for developers and neighbors
 - Current code is not contextsensitive
- Incentivize development in the core to meet planokc, adaptokc, and preserveokc
- Many UM neighborhoods were built with "Missing Middle" forms (which are not supported by the existing zoning code)









Ingredients



- Provide flexibility without negotiation
- Accommodate different housing types and promote housing affordability
- Protect neighborhood character and ensure compatibility
- Support infill development
- Guide density to appropriate locations
- Protect stormwater quality and reduce quantity
- Ensure predictability

URBAN - MEDIUM INTENSITY LAND USE TYPOLOGY AREA (UM)

UM applies to fully urbanized areas of the city, most of which were built prior to the 1960s. Developments are expected to be larger in scale and have greater intensity and mixture of uses than developments found in UL. Development within UM areas should support efficient transit usage and provide pedestrian and bicycle access to retail, services, parks, and other destinations. Priorities for the UM areas include "infill" development on vacant lots, rehabilitation of underutilized property, and development that supports revitalization of distressed neighborhoods.



DENSITY RANGE

Gross Density	10 - 40 du/acre
Lot Sizes	vary
Non-residential Floor to	0.40 - 1.20,
Area Ratio (FAR) Range	typical FAR of 1.0

UM Neighborhoods: Character

- Respect lot pattern
- Maintain setbacks
- Limit Heights
- Maintain Lot coverage
- Limit number and width of driveways



How tall?

UM SD: Approach

driveway spacing



lot coverage

	LUTA	Proposed Districts	Current District(s)
		UM-SD, Single-Dwelling	R-1, R-2
		UM-MD, Multi-Family	R-3, R-3M, R-4
	UM, Urban: Medium Intensity	UM-NS, Neighborhood Services UM-PO, Professional Office UM-MX, Mixed Use UM-LI, Infill Industry	O-1, O-2, NB, C-1, C-3, C-CBD, I-1

Proposed 11.03.22

LUTA	Proposed Districts	Current District(s)
	UM-SD, Single-Dwelling	R-1, R-2
	UM-MD, Multi-Family	R-3, R-3M, R-4
UM, Urban: Medium Intensity	UM-NS, Neighborhood Services UM-PO, Professional Office UM-MX, Mixed Use UM-LI, Infill Industry	O-1, O-2, NB, C-1, C-3, C-CBD, I-1
<i>One</i> zone <i>Multiple</i> optio	Mid-blo Street in Alley co	: ck location ntersections nditions oment type preferences

Proposed 11.03.22

LUTA	Proposed Districts	Current District(s)
	UM-SD, Single-Dwelling	R-1, R-2
	UM-MD, Multi-Family	R-3, R-3M, R-4
UM, Urban: Medium Intensity	UM-NS, Neighborhood Services UM-PO, Professional Office UM-MX, Mixed Use UM-LI, Infill Industry	O-1, O-2, NB, C-1, C-3, C-CBD, I-1
<i>One</i> zone <i>Multiple</i> opti	• UM-SD: • UM-SD:	<u>tions</u> Standard Options Corner Options Alley Options

Proposed 11.03.22

UM SD: Development Types



UM Neighborhoods: Typical Existing Condition

- 50' x 140' lot pattern
- Consistent setbacks
- Narrow driveways
- Few constructed alleys



UM SD: Standard Options

One primary building, up to two dwellings per lot



UM SD: Standard Options

- Allowed on any street type
- Single dwelling, or
- Up to two dwellings per 6,000 sf lot:
 - One principal dwelling + ADU
 - \circ Duplex, or
- 50 ft min. lot width (25 if duplex)
- One driveway per 50 ft
- Must meet other development standards









Proposed 11.03.22



UM SD: Corner Options





- At Neighborhood street corners
- One quadplex or 2 duplexes
- Up to four dwellings per 6,000 SF lot
- 25' min. lot width to split duplexes
- One driveway per 50 ft
- Must meet other development standards

Proposed 11.03.22



UM SD: Alley Options

- Along Neighborhood streets with alleys
- Two buildings maximum
- Four units maximum
- Small lot single dwellings
 - No minimum lot size
 - 25' min. lot width
- One driveway per 50 ft
- Must meet other development standards





UM Neighborhoods: Typical Existing Condition

Neighborhood Street

Neighborhood Street

30

Neighborhood Street

Neighborhood Street

- 50' x 140' lot pattern
- Consistent setbacks
- Narrow driveways
- Few constructed alleys

UM SD: Consolidated

• Up to two buildings and four dwellings per lot

Neighborhood Street.

Veighborhood Street

- Consistent setbacks
- Narrow driveways

ExistingStandard OptionCorner Options

Alley Options

Proposed 11.03.22

Neighborhood Street

UM SD: Development Types



		Development Types			
Standards (min.)	Single Dwelling (with or w/o ADU)	Duplex	Small lot single dwellings (two)	Two duplexes	Quadplex
Where allowed	Standard Corner Alley	Standard Corner Alley	Corner Alley	Corner Alley	Corner Alley
Lot Width	50 ft	50 ft/25 ft	25 ft	50 ft/25 ft	50 ft
Front Setback	Within 5 ft of adjace	ent house			
Corner Side Setback	15 ft	15 ft	15 ft	15 ft	15 ft
Interior Side Setback	5 ft	5 ft	5 ft	5 ft	5 ft
Rear Seatback	10 ft	10 ft	10 ft	10 ft	10 ft
Building Coverage	35%	35%	50%	50%	50%
Lot Coverage	55%	55%	70%	75%	75%
Density*	14.5 du/a	14.5 du/a	16 du/a	28 du/a	28 du/a
Pattern	1	1	2	3	3

Table 59-13.1.1, UM-SD Development Standards

Abbreviations: ac. = acre ADU = accessory dwelling unit du. = dwelling unit ft. = feet min. = minimum max. = maximum st. = story % = percent n/a = not applicable						
Key	Standard	Pattern 1	Pattern 2	Pattern 3		
	Density (max du/ac)	14.50	16.0	28.0		
	Amenity Space (min) [1]	n/a	n/a	10% n/a		
ots and	Blocks					
А	Lot Area (min sf/du) [21]	6,000 / 3,000	n/a	n/a		
В	Lot Width (min) [32]	50'/25'	25'	25'		
	Block Length (max)	See Sec.	See Sec. 59-39, Streets and Transportation			
uilding	Placement and Coverage [4]					
С	Front Setback (min) [53]	25'	25'	25'		
D	Corner Side Setback (min) [6]	15′	1 5′	1 5′		
	Building Frontage (min) - Front - Corner Side	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a		
Е	Interior Side Setback [74]-[8]	5′	5'	5'		
F	Rear Setback (min) [95]	10'	10'	10'		
	Garage Facade Setback (min) - Street - Alley - Property Line	30' 20' 5'	30' 20' 5'	30' 5' 5'		
G	Building Coverage (max)	50%	5 60%	650%		

DRAFT 11.03.22

What's to come:

Other UM Zones

Recommended Districts and Standards							
Districts	Use Туре	Amenity Space	Density (max)	FAR			
Residential Districts							
UM-SD	Single-Dwelling, Two-Dwelling	n/a	13-28 du/a				
UM-MD	Multi-Dwelling	TBD	22-40 du/a				
Mixed Use, Commercial and Industrial Districts							
UM-NS	Neighborhood Services	TBD	TBD	TBD			
UM-PO	Professional Office	TBD	TBD	TBD			
UM-MX Mixed Residential		TBD	TBD	TBD			
	Office, Retail, Services, Civic	TBD	TBD	TBD			
UM-LI	Light Industry	TBD	TBD	TBD			





- * Mixed Use along major arterials
- * Neighborhood commercial
- * Design standards for compatibility

UM MD: Connector Options





- Allowed along Connector street types
- Detached or attached units
- Increased height
- Increased density
- Amenity space requirements
- Specific site development standards

UM MD: Minor Arterial Options



- Allowed along Connector street types
- Detached or attached units
- Increased height
- Increased density
- Amenity space requirements
- Specific site development standards





What's to come: Development Standards

Good design "baked in"

- Building/site design
- Accessory dwelling units
- Subdivision regulations
- Landscaping updates
- Administrative processes







Discussion

UM-SD Zones Approach

Where we are in the code update

Process and Input



Next Steps: Review process for SAT

• Meeting schedule for 2023:

Review of proposed new base zones Review of use units Review of development standards Review of subdivision regulations

- Encode commenting
- Check-ins with public officials Planning Commission study sessions City Council briefings





Thank you!



OKC Code Update Contact Information

Marilyn Lamensdorf Allen, AICP, CNUa

Program Planner, City of Oklahoma City Planning Dept.

codeupdate@okc.gov; 405-297-1692

https://www.okc.gov/departments/planning/currentprojects/development-codes-update