



OKLAHOMA CITY CONTINUUM OF CARE (CoC OK-502) PROJECT RANKING AND REVIEW

The Oklahoma City Continuum of Care (CoC OK-502) will use the following process to rank all CoC projects. The goal of using this procedure is to ensure prioritized activities with recognized positive outcomes are annually renewed, lower performing projects are reallocated to create new projects that achieve HUD's goals of preventing and ending homelessness and maximize the Continuum's performance and available funding.

Projects are scored using predominantly objective criteria including: project performance, access for hard to serve populations, HMIS data quality, financial performance and compliance with Federal and CoC requirements, and collaboration with other organizations within the CoC and use of the Coordinated Entry System.

All projects are scored using a scorecard developed by the CoC Lead Agency and approved by the CoC Board. Project applicants are required to submit applications with project performance criteria found in their HMIS generated Annual Performance Reports (APR). Applicants are required to submit a copy of their APR along with their application so that CoC Lead staff can verify accuracy of reported outcomes. Performance data is generated from outcomes from the recent 12 month period that ended 2 months prior to the month that the competition opened.

CoC Lead staff will review all applications to determine if the projects meet eligibility and threshold criteria. Projects that are ineligible or do not meet threshold criteria will be removed and no further review will be conducted. For eligible projects, staff will total scores for objective criteria prior to the CoC Allocations Committee meet to rank the projects. Final scores will be completed during the Allocations Committee meeting based on scores assigned to remaining criteria by the members of the Allocations Committee for which values can vary. Projects will then be ranked in order from highest scoring to lowest. Projects will fall into either Tier 1 or Tier 2 based on criteria determined by HUD annually. Tier 2 projects are at risk of not being renewed by HUD after submission of the CoC Consolidated Application.

As new projects will not have performance criteria to base scores on, they will complete a narrative application describing the project being applied for. New project rankings will be determined by the Allocations Committee including project type and need as well as experience and record of the project applicant. Generally, high performing renewal projects will be ranked over new projects but it is at the Committee's discretion as to whether new projects will be ranked above or below lower ranking renewal projects in Tier 2. This includes Domestic Violence (DV) Bonus projects and Youth Homeless Demonstration Projects (YHDP). HMIS and Coordinated Entry (CE) projects are HUD mandated projects and not scored. The Allocations Committee can choose to rank them in Tier 1 or Tier 2.



OKLAHOMA CITY CONTINUUM OF CARE (CoC OK-502) PROJECT RANKING AND REVIEW

The Allocations Committee may use other, additional factors to determine project rank. These include, but are not limited to, the location of the project and whether it will increase access for people in need of assistance or policy and priority changes to the CoC program.

Once rankings are finalized, they will be approved by the CoC Board



OKLAHOMA CITY CONTINUUM OF CARE (CoC OK-502) PROJECT REALLOCATION

The Oklahoma City Continuum of Care (CoC OK-502) will use the following process to reallocate CoC renewal project funding to create new projects or expand existing project. The primary goal of this procedure is to ensure that the CoC maintain a high rate of performance by reallocating lower performing projects to create new projects or expand services that achieve HUD's goals of preventing and ending homelessness.

Involuntary Reallocation

Projects scores and rankings will be determined by several criteria.

Performance

Renewal projects will be assessed on their project quality and ability to meet performance outcomes such as occupancy rates, participant income growth, successful exits, housing stability and other factors

HMIS Data Quality

All projects funded through the CoC Program are required to enter data on clients and client outcomes into the community's HMIS database within 72 hours of client project entry. Projects will be evaluated both on the timeliness of data entry and data quality.

Financial and Monitoring Outcomes

Projects will be evaluated on how well they performed financially during the contract period. Projects that return funds after the operating year will be scored lower than those that expend 100% of funding. Additionally, CoC Lead staff annually monitor all subrecipients for compliance with federal and local requirements.

Collaboration

All projects funded through the CoC Program are required to participate in the Coordinated Entry System (CES). All referrals for project entry must come through the CES.

Lower performance or lack of compliance in any of these areas will generate a lower project score leading to the project to be ranked lower on the CoC's priority listing. The priority listing will be divided into two tiers based on criteria determined annually. Project that consistently rank in Tier 2 for two or more years will be considered for reallocation by the CoC Allocations Committee.

CoC projects that were funded under the Youth Homeless Demonstration Program initiative are eligible for replacement but not reallocation. If a YHDP project regularly performs poorly, an applicant may apply to close that project and use the funds to create a new project of a different type. Replacement projects may only be replaced to the same recipient of the current project, must be consistent with the CoC's



OKLAHOMA CITY CONTINUUM OF CARE (CoC OK-502) PROJECT REALLOCATION

Coordinated Community Plan for the YHDP program and must provide a letter approval from the CoC's Youth Action Board (YAB) to be considered for replacement.

Voluntary Reallocation

If a project performs poorly, cannot regularly utilize all allocated funding, the applicant no longer has the capacity to operate the project, or a change in policies and priorities to the Continuum program necessitates it, the applicant may choose to voluntarily reallocate all or part of their project funding to expand higher performing projects or create new projects.

All reallocations must be approved by the CoC Board.

SCORING - 2025 RENEWALS	
CRITERIA	MAX POINTS
Lived experience on Board of Directors or equivalent policy making entity	3
Funds recaptured	2
Timely reimbursement submission	1
Monitoring findings	-3
Project Description (fully describes the project and how it helps participants obtain and maintain housing)	4
Supportive services (Utilization of services funded through other resources)	2
Supportive services participation requirement and attached agreement	3
Substance abuse treatment on-site	3
Conncting participants to substance abuse treatment	3
Employment needs (regular assessment and connection to resources)	2
Project alignment with Funding Opportunity Goals	4
PERFORMANCE	
Housing Stability	9
Total Income	8
Earned Income	8
Entered from Streets	7
Exits to Homelessness	8
Non-Cash Benefits (Stayers)	7
Non-Cash Benefits (Leavers)	7
Utilization Rate	10
HMIS DATA QUALITY	
Identifiable Info	1
Veteran Status	1
Project Start Date	1
Head of Household	1
Disabling Condition	1
Destination	1
Income at Entry	1
Income at Annual Assessment	1
Income at Exit	1
TOTAL	
	100

SCORING - 2025 YHDP RENEWALS - DROP-IN	
CRITERIA	MAX POINTS
Lived experience on Board of Directors or equivalent policy making entity	3
Funds recaptured	2
Timely reimbursement submission	1
Monitoring findings	-3
Project Description (fully describes the project and how it helps participants obtain and maintain housing)	4
Supportive services (Utilization of services funded through other resources)	2
Supportive services participation requirement and attached agreement	3
Substance abuse treatment on-site	3
Conncting participants to substance abuse treatment	3
Employment needs (regular assessment and connection to resources)	2
Project alignment with Funding Opportunity Goals	4
PERFORMANCE	
Total Visits	10
Total New Clients Served	8
Successful Housing Placements	10
Successful Placements in Other Programs (Host Homes, PSH, Diversion, Etc..)	10
Enrollment In Case Management	5
Referrals to Medical, Shelter, Benefits, Other Resources	6
HMIS DATA QUALITY	
Identifiable Info	1
Veteran Status	1
Project Start Date	1
Head of Household	1
Disabling Condition	1
Destination	1
Income at Entry	1
Income at Annual Assessment	1
Income at Exit	1
TOTAL	
	85

SCORING - 2025 YHDP RENEWALS - HOST HOMES	
CRITERIA	MAX POINTS
Lived experience on Board of Directors or equivalent policy making entity	3
Funds recaptured	2
Timely reimbursement submission	1
Monitoring findings	-3
Project Description (fully describes the project and how it helps participants obtain and maintain housing)	4
Supportive services (Utilization of services funded through other resources)	2
Supportive services participation requirement and attached agreement	3
Substance abuse treatment on-site	3
Conncting participants to substance abuse treatment	3
Employment needs (regular assessment and connection to resources)	2
Project alignment with Funding Opportunity Goals	4
PERFORMANCE	
Total Youth Referred	10
Total Youth Enrolled	10
Time from Referral to Match	5
Length of Stay in Program	6
Number of Positive Exits	10
Education and Employment Connections	8
HMIS DATA QUALITY	
Identifiable Info	1
Veteran Status	1
Project Start Date	1
Head of Household	1
Disabling Condition	1
Destination	1
Income at Entry	1
Income at Annual Assessment	1
Income at Exit	1
TOTAL	
	85

SCORING - 2025 YHDP RENEWALS - DIVERSION	
CRITERIA	MAX POINTS
Lived experience on Board of Directors or equivalent policy making entity	3
Funds recaptured	2
Timely reimbursement submission	1
Monitoring findings	-3
Project description (fully describes the project and how it helps participants obtain and maintain housing)	4
Supportive services (Utilization of services funded through other resources)	2
Supportive services participation requirement and attached agreement	3
Substance abuse treatment on-site	3
Connecting participants to substance abuse treatment	3
Employment needs (regular assessment and connection to resources)	2
Project alignment with Funding Opportunity Goals	4
PERFORMANCE	
Total Diversion Screenings	10
Total Youth Successfully Diverted	15
Stability After 30 Days	10
Stability After 6 Months	14
HMIS DATA QUALITY	
Identifiable Info	1
Veteran Status	1
Project Start Date	1
Head of Household	1
Disabling Condition	1
Destination	1
Income at Entry	1
Income at Annual Assessment	1
Income at Exit	1
TOTAL	
	85

SCORING - 2025 NEW - TRANSITIONAL HOUSING	
CRITERIA	MAX POINTS
Lived experience on Board of Directors or equivalent policy making entity	3
Experience with Federal Housing Programs	5
Project alignment with Funding Opportunity Goals	4
PROJECT INFORMATION	
Project description (fully describes the project and how it helps participants obtain and maintain housing)	5
Organizational experience	5
Transitional Housing experience	5
Outcomes from previous transitional program	5
Connection with other public/private resources (SSI, SNAP, employment programs, etc...)	3
Supportive services participation requirement and attached agreement	5
Customized supportive services - 40 hrs/week	5
Customized supportive services plan	5
Substance abuse treatment on-site	3
Connecting participants to substance abuse treatment	3
Employment needs (regular assessment and connection to resources)	3
Cost per household	3
TOTAL	62

SCORING - 2025 NEW - PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING	
CRITERIA	MAX POINTS
Lived experience on Board of Directors or equivalent policy making entity	3
Experience with Federal Housing Programs	5
Project alignment with Funding Opportunity Goals	4
PROJECT INFORMATION	
# Units	12
# HH served	
# Individuals Served	
<i>If more than 20 HH, more than 20 units, more than 40 individuals served</i>	
Project description (fully describes the project and how it helps participants obtain and maintain housing)	5
Organizational experience	5
Program design meets needs of participants	3
Proposed supportive services (how services lead to self-sufficiency and stable housing)	3
Participant prioritization (elderly & dev disabled)	3
Connection with other public/private resources (SSI, SNAP, employment programs, etc...)	3
Supportive services participation requirement and attached agreement	3
Substance abuse treatment on-site	3
Connecting participants to substance abuse treatment	3
Cost per household	3
TOTAL	58

SCORING - 2025 NEW - RAPID REHOUSING	
CRITERIA	MAX POINTS
Lived experience on Board of Directors or equivalent policy making entity	3
Experience with Federal Housing Programs	5
Project alignment with Funding Opportunity Goals	4
PROJECT INFORMATION	
# Units	11
# HH served	
# Individuals Served	
<i>If more than 20 HH, more than 20 units, more than 40 individuals served</i>	
Project description (fully describes the project and how it helps participants obtain and maintain housing)	5
Organizational experience	5
Self sufficiency within 3 - 24 months	3
Proposed supportive services (how services lead to self-sufficiency and stable housing)	5
Rapid rehousing experience	3
Rapid rehousing experience (exits with employment income)	5
Supportive services participation requirement and attached agreement	3
Employment needs (regular assessment and connection to resources)	3
Cost per household	3
TOTAL	58

SCORING - 2025 NEW - SSO OUTREACH	
CRITERIA	MAX POINTS
Lived experience on Board of Directors or equivalent policy making entity	3
Experience with Federal Housing Programs	5
Project alignment with Funding Opportunity Goals	4
PROJECT INFORMATION	
Project description (fully describes the project and how it helps participants obtain and maintain housing)	5
Organizational experience	5
Connection with other public/private resources (SSI, SNAP, employment programs, etc...)	5
Organization experience providing services to unsheltered	10
Resource connection experience & work with first responders	5
Cooperation with law enforcement	5
Experience providing outreach	5
(Review budget for cost effectiveness)	5
ERI bonus	3
TOTAL	60

SCORING - 2025 NEW - STANDALONE	
CRITERIA	MAX POINTS
Lived experience on Board of Directors or equivalent policy making entity	3
Experience with Federal Housing Programs	5
Project alignment with Funding Opportunity Goals	4
PROJECT INFORMATION	
Project description (fully describes the project and how it helps participants obtain and maintain housing)	5
Organizational experience	5
Annual assessment of service needs	10
Organization experience providing services to unsheltered	10
Connection with other public/private resources (SSI, SNAP, employment programs, etc...)	5
(Review budget for cost effectiveness)	5
ERI bonus	7
TOTAL	59